

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)  
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7/11/14

Plans should be submitted to: [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

[Johnne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Johnne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-486-4665

[Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-408-3999

<b>COUNTY INFORMATION</b>	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Washington County Department of Social Services	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Washington County Department of Social Services	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Tammy L. DeLorme, Commissioner
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: (518) 746-2323	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: tammy.delorme@dfa.state.ny.us

<b>STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015</b>	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 113,401
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 34,400
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 74,400
<del>Maximum</del> STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 120,000 plan total
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 74,400
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 45,600
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 79,401
<b>TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:</b>	<b>\$ 45,600</b>

**SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities**

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year’s plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

The 2014-2015 Plan covers all of the communities within Washington County, which encompasses 17 townships and 10 separate school districts. The greatest number of PINS and JD’s within the County are from the Hudson Falls/Fort Edward area, due in greatest part to having a larger population than the other communities within Washington County, and the location’s accessibility to both Glens Falls and Saratoga (i.e. the population has a tendency to be more transient on the borders of two other counties).

**SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded**

List the name of each service and program who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the projected amount of STSJP funds to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

**"Please enter each program individually, and if you have more programs than the form accounts for, please use the addendum supplied with this document."**

OCFS-2121 (6/2014)

<b>STSJP Program One</b>	Washington County Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Juvenile Electronic Monitoring (JEM)
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 20,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 10			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/14			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 9			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 60 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 9			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 9			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$0.00			

<b>STSJP Program Two</b>	Washington County Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Juvenile Community Restoration (JCR)
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 40,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 25			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 23			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 120 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 23			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 23			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			

4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0

5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$0.00

<b>STSJP Program Three</b>	Berkshire Farm Center and Services for Youth	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Respite/Temporary Care
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 3			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A			

<b>STSJP Program Four</b>	Washington County Youth Bureau/ Alternative Sentencing	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Adventure Programming
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 15,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 25			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A			

<b>STSJP Program Five</b>	Washington County Youth Bureau/ Alternative Sentencing	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Parenting Program
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 10,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 12			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A			

<b>STSJP Program Six</b>	Washington County Youth Bureau/ Alternative Sentencing	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Youth Employment
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 25,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 25			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A			



### **SECTION THREE – Disproportionality**

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality?

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: Washington County has had very limited occurrences of placing youth from racial groups other than Caucasian in either foster care or detention. Washington County has not been identified as having significant racial or ethnic disproportionality.

### **SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services**

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: In the Juvenile Community Restoration program (JCR), we believe that open communication with parents will increase the success rate for the youth and reduce the likelihood that they will be placed residentially. The sense of accountability and personal satisfaction that the youth receive encourages them to maintain the positive changes they have made, making it less likely that they will be detained or residentially placed. Placing youth on Juvenile Electronic Monitoring (JEM) is an option that is not only cost effective, but also allows the youth to live at home, attend school, treatment, counseling, etc. It can be used as a curfew monitoring tool, a graduated sanction tool, or simply to lock them at home to insure community safety. Youth are encouraged to engage with their families and identify pro-social family members that can be included in their support system. If a youth is placed in Respite/Temporary Care through Berkshire Farms, the youth and his/her family will be assessed for needed services and if necessary, intensive services will be provided. Adventure Programming will support the enhancement of appropriate life skills, including decision-making, problem-solving, goal-setting, and communication. The Parenting Program will target risk factors and issues affecting the families, and goals will be reviewed at the end of each session. Youth Employment will provide the youth with training and employment they may not have had access to. Incentives/Activity Fees will provide opportunities for youth to earn entrance to activities that will be a positive reinforcement for positive behavior change.
2. How they are family –focused: Parents of youth who participate in the Juvenile Community Restoration (JCR) program are expected to communicate with the JCR throughout their child's involvement with the program. They sign a parent contract outlining their obligation and agreeing that they will openly communicate with the program coordinator in order to garner the best outcome for the child. At a minimum the JCR coordinator has weekly contact with each JCR participant's parent or guardian and depending on issues that arise, they may have daily contact with parents. All youth who are placed on Juvenile Electronic Monitoring (JEM) are required to have a parent or guardian with them at the time of intake. Parents are required to communicate with staff to verify any unapproved leaves from the home or to schedule approved leaves. As an alternative to detention, Berkshire Farms Respite/Temporary Care will provide support from DSS and Berkshire Farms while the youth resides in a safe family home environment and he/she can maintain his/her home school placement until they are able to return to the family home. Youth participating in Adventure Programming will participate with their family in challenge-based activities, which are designed to allow participants to interact as a family. The Parenting Program will be specifically targeted for the needs of the individual and family. Youth Employment participants will receive stipends for successfully completing individualized goals, and the stipends will not be dependent on family income. Families will be encouraged to participate with their youth when Incentives/Activity Fees are provided as positive reinforcement.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: The existing services/programs have been and will continue to be replicated across multiple sites, and we anticipate that the new programs will be as well.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Existing programs successfully kept Washington County youth out of Detention (with the exception of one 2-day placement) during the previous year, and the expectation is that with even more programs and services at

our disposal, we will continue that trend.

5. What were the barriers if not met? N/A

## **SECTION FIVE – Justification for the Proposed Programs and Services**

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the demonstrated effectiveness of the proposed services and programs, or provide (in the form) other justification of why you are proposing these services/programs for funding. Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two.

Washington County has utilized JCR and JEM through the Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing for the past several years.

Juvenile Community Restoration (JCR) Program serves youth from ages 7-18 and provides consequence and accountability for undesirable behaviors by providing the offending youth with several hours of monitored community service. At the same time, the program provides: support to parents; works with youth to recognize that there is often consequence to others as well as themselves as a result of their actions/misdeeds (Restorative Approach); and offers some measure of life skills training that will continue to serve the youth after the program has been completed.

Juvenile Electronic Monitoring provides an alternative to detention placements to youth involved in the Family Court system through PINS and Juvenile Delinquent petitions. JEM improves outcomes for youth who would have historically been placed in detention without compromising public safety. It allows youth to: remain in their homes and with their families and preserves the family unit; continue in an appropriate educational placement at their home school; participate in necessary appointments with local service providers; and make good choices and positive life changes.

Respite/Temporary Care through Berkshire Farms foster home will provide an alternative to detention when neither or both JCR and JEM are sufficient in doing so. While in Respite Care the youth and his/her family will be assessed by Berkshire Farms for needed services. When appropriate, the County will reimburse Berkshire Farms for additional intensive services determined to be needed as a result of the assessment, as provided through the use of the detention funding. Thus, the family will be receiving the support of both DSS and Berkshire Farms while seeking to attain a more suitable outcome for the youth, upon a return to a family caregiver. Respite will: provide a family home environment; allow for the school placement to be maintained; and keep the youth safe and well cared for until they are able to return to their family home

Washington County Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing Agency (YB/ASA) will add the following new programs:

Adventure Programming – The program will provide adolescents / families an opportunity to participate in challenge-based activities. The activities are designed to allow participants to interact as a family and work on various life skills such as: decision-making, problem-solving, trust, communication, and goal-setting.

Parenting Program – Twelve Classes will be held in the following communities: Whitehall, Fort Edward and Greenwich. Classes will target the individual risk factors and issues affecting the families. Each family will be required to attend a minimum of two sessions. The youth and the parents will attend concurrent workshops separately with homework assignments and goals reviewed at the end of each session.

Youth Employment - Although we must hold youth who commit status offenses and delinquent acts responsible for their behavior, we also need to provide them opportunities to learn skills that can help them grow into productive, law abiding citizens. One way to do this is to offer training and employment to these youth to help them successfully enter the labor market and thus reduce the likelihood of recidivism. It is especially important that we provide this training to PINS /court-involved youth. We would initiate a youth employment readiness program which would include matching a youth's work importance/interest profile with an appropriate worksite in their community. Youth would receive stipends for successfully completing individualized goals. We anticipate that these goals would vary depending on the individual youth's needs but may include obtainment of the National Work Readiness Credential, developing a Youth Portfolio, being on time and completing scheduled work weeks, being promoted or given additional responsibilities at work etc. Stipends would not be dependent on family income, they would be determined based on a youth's

accomplishments.

Incentives / Activity fees - Research has shown that for every negative sanction there should be four positives in order to initiate behavior change. Thus we will be utilizing incentives as a form of positive reinforcement. Incentives within the Juvenile Community Restoration program have traditionally included a reduction in community service hours, a decrease in check in responsibilities, verbal affirmations etc. but we have been limited due to lack of resources to include financial incentives and/or activity fees. Since we are building on the family relationship the use of tickets can be especially beneficial for the youth and families who have limited financial resources. We envision that youth can earn "tickets" to school functions, community events, bowling, movies, amusement parks etc. and families would be encouraged to participate with their youth.

## **SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes**

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements:

**OUTCOME:** Detention placements will continue to be reduced by 5% per year.

**INDICATOR:** Of the total number of PINS youth served, 100% will remain at home. Of the total number of Juvenile Delinquents served, 90% will remain at home.

**STRATEGY:**

- Preventive Caseworkers will work with each PINS and JD youth and their families throughout the life of the case to identify family resources and supports to be utilized in crisis situations in lieu of detention placement. Preventive Caseworkers will also identify needed services and facilitate the youths' and families' access to those services to promote permanent change and reduce recidivism.
- Family Group Conferencing will be employed in cases where crisis situations appear to be imminent. Where preventive respite is offered, a family meeting will be held prior to the youth's return home to make the transition back home or to the home of an appropriate relative easier for youth and family.
- Washington County DSS will contract with the Washington County Youth Bureau for the Juvenile Community Restoration Program to provide consequences for undesirable behaviors and additional monitoring of medium risk PINS and JD youth.
- Washington County DSS will contract with Washington County Alternative Sentencing to provide Electronic Monitoring for youth at risk of detention or residential placement.
- Washington County DSS, Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing, and Probation will continue to utilize the Youth Assessment and Screening Instrument to measure risk and determine need for youth referred.
- Washington County DSS will contract with Berkshire Farms for the use of licensed foster homes to provide Respite Care when being maintained in the family home is not possible.

- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: Washington County DSS will contract with Washington County Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing to add these additional programs in SFY 2014-2015:
  - Adventure Programming
  - Parenting Program
  - Youth Employment
  - Incentives/Activity Fees

## **SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes**

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Of the total number of PINS youth served, 100% will remain at home. Of the total number of

Juvenile Delinquents served, 90% will remain at home.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? 0</li> </ul>	
Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: N/A (countywide)	
TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 17 youth, many of whom had several charges, for a total of 74 charges	
TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 1	
<b>Secure detention:</b>	2 days
<b>Non-Secure detention</b>	0 days
TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:	
Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	1
Number of PINs placed:	0
TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	1

**COMMENTS**

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Less than half the number of youth were arrested compared to the previous SFY. Two JD youth were placed with OCFS or LDSS in the last SFY, zero were placed in the present fiscal year. The number of youth participating in the JEM and JCR programs was fairly constant during both fiscal years.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

Yes, due to our successful collaboration with the Youth Bureau/Alternative Sentencing, an expansion is planned for SFY 2014-2015. Four new programs have been added to the existing programs: Adventure Programming, Parenting Program, Youth Employment, and Incentives/Activity Fees.

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties  
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:

N/A

- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:

N/A

- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

N/A

**SECTION NINE– Additional Comments**

**APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

James Lindsay, Chairman, Washington County Board of Supervisors

Name (Please Print)



5-11-14  
Date

X

Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.

---

f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)

---

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)**  
**SFY 2014-2015-ANNUAL PLAN**  
ADDENDUM

STSJP Program Seven	Washington County Youth Bureau/ Alternative Sentencing	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	Incentives/Activity Fees
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A			

