

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title "**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**" and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Tompkins	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Tompkins DSS	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Todd Husick
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 607-274-5297	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: todd.husick@dfa.state.ny.us

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 176,752
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 0
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 64,516
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 24,800
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 15,200
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 176,752
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	\$ 15,200

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

2013: 50-55% of detention placements were from the City of Ithaca. The remainder are from outlying areas of Dryden, Groton, Newfield, Lansing, and Trumansburg.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

STSJP Program One	Probation Electronic Monitoring	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ADP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 5000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tompkins			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5-10			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 9/1/2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? NA			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 24.5 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 4			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 4			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$4290			

STSJP Program Two	Probation Service Engagement Supports	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 3800
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tompkins			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15-20			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 12/3/2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? NA			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 12 mos			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 15			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 15			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Three	Probation PINS and JD SUpervision	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 11,200
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tompkins			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15-20			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 9/1/2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? NA			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 12 mos			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 15			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 15			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Four	Yotuh Advocacy Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 20,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tompkins			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 2-4			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 9/1/2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? NA			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 9 mos			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 2			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 2			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Five		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Six		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? Based on information from JDAS database in 2012, a total of 42 youth were placed in detention with 38% being of a race other than white. In 2013, a total of 22 youth were placed in detention with 41% being a race other than white. Hence, while there has been an overall large decrease in the number of youth placed in detention, racial and ethnic disproportionality has increased slightly. This increase may reflect the greater sensitivity of disproportionality percentage calculations with lower number of placements, i.e. in 2013 each youth represents four percentage points compared to two in 2012. With regard to fostercare placements, there was a decrease from 74 placements in 2012 to 58 in 2013. The percentage of youth of race other than white was approximately the same (15% and 17% respectively). In 2012 there were 12 residential placements; three youth (25%) were non-white. In 2013, 7 residential placements; all the youth were white.

If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: EM: EM is utilized as an alternative to detention and/or placement. EM is used in tandem with probation supervision and community services which strive to improve home and school behavior and decrease further criminal or ungovernable behavior in the community. Decreasing detention and placement numbers will decrease disproportionality by limiting the need for these youth to enter placement.

Service Engagement Supports: As low income often disproportionately affects minorities, providing supports such as transportation, enhanced communication, improved problem solving and incentives will serve to offset this disadvantage and enable these youth and families to more effectively engage in services that prevent detention placements.

Supervision: will decrease disproportionality by limiting the need for these youth to enter detention and placement.

- YAP: YAP is a community based services provided throughout our community which is well known in various neighborhoods, communities, and systems. YAP meets with families and youth in their communities. Their office is friendly and located in a central area. YAP will address disproportionality in placements, particularly institution level, by reducing the numbers of youth in institution placement.

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed:

EM will prevent and/or reduce detention or residential placements because in some cases it will be as an alternative to detention. It is also a deterrent to violations of conditions of probation, which sometimes result in placements.

Engagement Supports: Providing supports such as transportation, enhanced communication and problem solving and incentives will improve engagement in school and support services by Youth and in turn reduce the number of youth placed in detention.

Supervision: will decrease disproportionality by limiting the need for these youth to enter detention and placement.

1. YAP works with the highest risk youth in the community, including JDs, JOs, and youth who are in residential. YAP has been highly effective in preventing placement and returning youth from institution earlier. Out of 25 youth considered high risk, in 2010 only 1 experienced an out of home placement. YAP youth are also contributing-- by mentoring other youth, working, going to school, and participating in subsidized employment.

How they are family –focused: How EM is family focused: EM keeps the child in the home. EM is used in tandem with supervision and other services. The needs of the youth and family are assessed and services particular to that family are explored and then mobilized. Family therapy, the Multisystemic Therapy (MST)

program, or other appropriate services may be accessed to ensure that a youth's confinement to the home is safe for the child and parent.

How Engagement Supports are family focused: The engagement supports provided through this plan will enable probation officers to more easily communicate, and collaborate with parents and youth in supporting youth in engaging in school and services.

How Supervision Services are family focused: The probation officer develops a plan with the family with a focus on competence development, supervision, and services. They meet individually with youth as well as their families and their resource networks.

2. How YAP is family focused: YAP works with the entire family, providing wraparound services to the youth and their family.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: EM, YAP and Probation Supervision, are capable of being replicated across multiple sites
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. YES
5. What were the barriers if not met?

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below.

Electronic monitoring is an effective Alternative Detention Program Model listed in the OCFS June 7, 2011 memo to counties.

Probation Supervision of PINS and JD youth has been effective in reducing further involvement of PINS and JD Youth with Court and placement.

YAP has been highly effective reducing residential placement in our community for over 10 years.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements:
 - 75% of youth receiving EM as an alternative to detention and/or placement will avoid detention and placement
 - 100 % of youth receiving EM will follow conditions of probation
 - 75% of youth receiving Service Engagement Supports will avoid placement
 - 75% of youth receiving PINS or JD Supervision will avoid placement
- 87% of youth referred to YAP will avoid placement out of the home
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs:
 - EM will allow youth to remain in their School District and work towards graduation.
 - 80 % of youth in YAP will avoid further legal involvement.
 - 90% of youth in YAP will participate in community service events, supported work, or employment. In addition, once a youth is discharged they can remain connected informally and formally through YAP alumni program and music program.

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The

inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs:

6 (out of 10) youth receiving EM as an alternative to detention and/or placement will avoid detention and placement ACTUAL OUTCOME: all 4 youth avoided placement

100 % of youth receiving EM will follow conditions of probation: ACHIEVED

75% of youth receiving Service Engagement Supports will avoid placement: ACHIEVED 100% avoided placement

75% of youth receiving PINS or JD Supervision will avoid placement: ACHIEVED 100% avoided placement

87% of youth referred to YAP will avoid placement out of the home: ACHIEVED 100% avoided placement

Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs?

EM will allow youth to remain in their School District and work towards graduation.

80 % of youth in YAP will avoid further legal involvement.

90% of youth in YAP will participate in community service events, supported work, or employment. In addition, once a youth is discharged they can remain connected informally and formally through YAP alumni program and music program.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: NO

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 0

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 0

Secure detention:	0
--------------------------	---

Non-Secure detention	0
-----------------------------	---

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINS case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	0
---	---

Number of PINS placed:	0
------------------------	---

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	17
---	----

COMMENTS

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Yes, no youth that engaged in the STSJP programs were placed in detention or residential program

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

NO

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Joe Mareane

7/10/2014

Name (Please Print)

Date

X

Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
- f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov