

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)**  
**SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07 / 11 / 2014

Plans should be submitted to: [OCFS.SM.STSJP@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:OCFS.SM.STSJP@ocfs.ny.gov)

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

[Johnne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Johnne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-486-4665

[Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-408-3999

### COUNTY INFORMATION

NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION:

Tioga County

LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION:

Tioga County Department of Social Services

NAME OF CONTACT PERSON:

Gail Barton

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:

607-687-8305

CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS:

[gail.barton@dfaf.state.ny.us](mailto:gail.barton@dfaf.state.ny.us)

### STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015

SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 76,438
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 0
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 64,516
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 24,516
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 76,438
<b>TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:</b>	<b>\$ 24,516</b>

### SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

There were thirty-seven Juvenile Delinquency (J.D.) petitions filed and five Person In Need of Supervision (PINS) petitions filed during the 2013 - 2014 STSJP funding period (NY Family Court local data). In the 4/1/13-3/31/14 STSJP plan period there were nine youth in non-secure detention for a total of eighty-seven bed days (45 days prior to placement in DSS custody and 42 days post DSS placement). There were no youth in secure detention during this period. The greatest number of youth in non-secure detention between 4/1/13-3/31/14 are from the geographic areas covered by the Waverly and Owego-Apalachin school districts. This represents a change from the previous STSJP plan when the youth in non-secure detention also included the Newark Valley and Vestal school districts. As of June 2014, there are five J.D. and three PINS youth placed in residential or group home facilities. There were no youth placed in OCFS custody between 4/1/13 and 3/31/14. The greatest number of J.D.'s and PINS placed in residential and group home facilities during the period 4/1/13-3/31/14 are from the geographic area covered by the Owego-Apalachin school district. This represents no change from the previous STSJP plan.

### SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

**“Please enter each program individually, and if you have more programs than the form accounts for, please use the addendum supplied with this document.”**

OCFS-2121 (6/2014)

<b>STSJP Program One</b>	Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST)	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 47,134
<p>1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tioga is a small, rural county. The location of youth placed in detention or a residential/group home level of care may come from anywhere in the county. During the 2013-2014 STSJP plan period, youth in detention were primarily from the geographic areas covered by two school districts, Waverly and Owego-Apalachin, but that was only four youth. Five of eight youth in group home or institutional care as of June 30, 2014, are from the geographic area covered by the Owego-Apalachin school district area. The geographic target is the entire county, with priority for MST services to be offered to J.D. youth (J.D. diversion and adjudicated J.D.'s) who are deemed by Tioga County Probation and/or the Tioga County Inter-Agency Youth Assessment Team to be at high risk for out of home placement, either in detention or a residential facility.</p>			
<p>2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJP Program? The county contracted MST Program projects serving 30 youth in a one year period. The STSJP program portion of MST funding will serve 3-5 youth.</p>			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? April 1, 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? MST serves thirty youth per year. STSJP funds are used to cover 3-5 MST slots.			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? Four months			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? There were twenty five referrals to MST from PINS Diversion and eight J.D. referrals from Tioga County Probation.			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) Of the eight youth referred by Probation, five received MST services. Two completed treatment.			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so One youth failed to appear for court.			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court One youth was re-arrested during treatment.			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) No youth were moved to detention.			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$0			

<b>STSJP Program Two</b>	Reminder Call Program/Electronic Monitoring/Enhanced Intake & Diversion Services	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 17,382

<p>1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Tioga is a small, rural county. The location of youth placed in detention or a residential/group home level of care may come from anywhere in the county. During the 2013-2014 STSJP plan period, youth in detention were primarily from the geographic areas covered by two school districts, Waverly and Owego-Apalachin, but that was only four youth. Five of eight youth in group home or institutional care as of June 30, 2014, are from the geographic area covered by the Owego-Apalachin school district area. The geographic target is the entire county. Alternatives to Detention (ATDs) services will be offered to J.D. youth who are deemed by Tioga County Probation to be at high risk for placement in detention.</p>
<p>2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? The projected number of Youth who will be served by the ATD Programs will be 20 - 25 youth.</p>
<p><b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".</b></p>
<p>1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? September 1, 2013</p>
<p>2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? The number of slots created in the ATD programs was dependent on the number and severity of Juvenile Delinquency Appearance Tickets issued. From September 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014. Twenty one youth were served by these programs during the 2013 - 2014 funding period.</p>
<p>3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? The only on-going program of the three ATDs would be the Electronic Monitoring Program. Electronic Monitoring is typically used for a six month period.</p>
<p>4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? Twenty one youth were served by the call reminder and electronic monitoring programs.</p>
<p><b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b></p>
<p>1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 17 of the 21 youth served by the ATDs were not re-arrested and appeared in court. This indicates a 81% success rate.</p>
<p>2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so Two youth did not appear in court when directed to do so.</p>
<p>3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court Two youth were re-arrested before appearing in court.</p>
<p>4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) No youth were moved to detention because of non-compliance with ATDs.</p>
<p>5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$2,176.60 of the money allocated for ATDs was not spent during the 2013 - 2014 funding period. This money was allocated for electronic monitoring. The full allocation was not spent as the electronic monitoring was not available for six months of the 2013 - 2014 funding period. It is anticipated that the full amount will be spent during the present funding period.</p>

STSJP Program Three	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".</b>	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Four	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".</b>	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Five	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".</b>	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Six	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.</b>	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

### **SECTION THREE – Disproportionality**

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? The use of detention or residential placement by Tioga County does not show any significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. This is able to be measured by knowing the ethnicity of each youth placed in detention or residential placement due to the small size of the county. There were no non-Caucasian youth placed in detention during the STSJP reporting period; there was one bi-racial youth placed in a residential facility during the reporting period.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: N/A

### **SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services**

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: For a description of how MST services and the ATDs are used to reduce placement in detention or residential facilities please see the Tioga County STSJP plan for the 2013 - 2014 STSJP funding period.
2. How they are family –focused: For a description of how MST and ATDs are family-focused please see the Tioga County STSJP plan for the 2013 - 2014 STSJP funding period.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: MST research indicates that MST can be replicated across multiple sites. All ATDs can be replicated across multiple sites, however the ATDs will be provided by a probation officer located at the probation office in Owego, NY.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Between April 1, 2013 and March 31, 2014, Probation referred eight youth to MST. Two youth completed treatment. From September 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014, all of the youth (17) called via the reminder call program appeared for their court dates. Four youth were monitored via electronic monitoring (EM). In all four cases, EM was used as an alternative to detention placement. No youth received the enhanced intake and diversion services. This facet of the ATDs was not utilized as the Youth who were issued Juvenile Delinquency Appearance Tickets did not require or were not deemed appropriate to receive enhanced services. The Youth who went direct to detention and were not issued an appearance ticket were not appropriate for the enhanced services due to the nature of their alleged offense or their disposition at the time they were remanded to detention.
5. What were the barriers if not met? Barriers to MST services being provided to all youth who may be appropriate for referral to MST include: Family refusal of the MST service; MST does not serve juvenile sexual offenders who have not completed a sex offender treatment program; 19 of 25 potential J.D. referrals for MST during the STSJP plan period 4/1/13-3/31/14 were not able to be referred. Seven youth did not reside in Tioga County, five youth had allegations of sexual offenses, three youth were already working with MST as PINS, two youth had already been referred to the MST program through PINS, and the remaining two youth were in the custody of LDSS. The barrier to the use of enhanced intake and diversion services was the nature of the youth's alleged offense and their disposition at the time they were remanded to detention.

### **SECTION FIVE – Justification for the Proposed Programs and Services**

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the demonstrated effectiveness of the proposed services and programs, or provide (in the form) other justification of why you are proposing these services/programs for funding. Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two. During the STSJP plan period 4/1/13 - 3/31/14, MST served 35 families. Nine families were still in treatment at the end of the reporting period. Only 3 youth who received MST services during the reporting period were placed outside of the home. MST was successful in preventing 91% of the youth they served from being placed in detention or a residential facility. The ATDs provided during the STSJP plan period of 4/1/13 - 3/31/14 were successful in preventing at least four youth from possibly being remanded to detention. Also, 90% of the youth served

by the ATDs appeared in court as scheduled.

### SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: Tioga County estimates there will probably not be a reduction in detention utilization for the STSJP plan period 4/1/14-3/31/15 from the last STSJP plan goal of 85 bed days of non-secure detention due to Tioga already having 62 detention bed days since 4/1/14; one youth is a juvenile sex offender awaiting assessment and placement in an appropriate level of care and the other youth is an adjudicated J.D. who was unsuccessfully discharged from several other treatment programs and was awaiting Family Court hearings and placement in OCFS custody. Tioga County estimates that 50% of the J.D. youth referred by Probation who receive and actively participate with MST services for the entire MST treatment period will avoid being placed in a residential facility. Based on the statistics from the 2013 - 2014 funding period, there is no reason to think continuing the ATDs in place will result in a lower success rate. Tioga County estimates that the use of electronic monitoring will prevent three youth from being placed in detention. The reminder call program will serve approximately 20 youth. The enhanced intake and diversion feature will be utilized once during the upcoming funding period.
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: Improved youth/parent interaction; increased parental supervision.

### SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: The projected outcomes for the 2012-2013 STSJP Plan were: For all programs being funded with STSJP money there will be no more than 85 bed days of non-secure detention; For the 2013 - 2014 funding period, Probation projected that the ATD's would reduce non-secure detention usage for the target population by 20%. The 20% reduction would be in detention bed days utilized by the County for pre -petition through disposition of Article 3 Family Court cases. There were 17 non-secure detention bed days utilized during the pre-dispositional phase during the 2013 - 2014 funding period. This is a 53% reduction as there were 36 non-secure detention bed days utilized during the pre-dispositional phase during the 2012 - 2013 funding period.
- .
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Improved youth/parent interaction.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide:

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 36

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 9

Secure detention: 0

Non-Secure detention: 9

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS: 3

Number of PINs placed: 1

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding: 26

**COMMENTS**

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Two J.D. youth referred by Probation during the 2013/2014 STSJP Plan year completed MST treatment and were not placed in non-secure detention or a residential facility. However, there were 87 non-secure bed days for the STSJP period 4/1/13 - 3/31/14. Two of the three ATDs provided services to youth within the ranges anticipated. The enhanced intake and diversion program was not offered to any youth as there were no youth deemed appropriate during the 2013 - 2014 funding period.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

Yes, allocation changes:

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties  
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

**SECTION NINE– Additional Comments**

**APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_

Name (Please Print) \_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**X**

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Fill out the form, save a copy to your desktop under a different name (ex. 2121 Smith) to retain the information for your files. Print out a completed copy, sign the document and scan to upload it to STSJP.