

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07/25/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title "**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**" and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Suffolk County	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Suffolk County Probation Department	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Anne Abel
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 631-852-5032	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: anne.abel@suffolkcountyny.gov

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 2,517,695
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 240,136
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 443,724
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 683,860
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 1,103,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 683,860
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 419,140
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 2,073,971
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	\$ 419,140

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Over the past years, Suffolk County has experienced a significant reduction in juvenile detention placement rates for both the Juvenile Delinquent (JD) and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS) populations. In 2009, Suffolk had a total of 343 admissions to secure and non-secure detention. This dropped by 48% by 2013 to a total of 178 admissions. 66.7% were JD's and 33.3% were PINS. In 2013, 39 youth from Suffolk County were in OCFS placement.

Suffolk County has a few communities with higher rates of detention and placement. However, given the large geographic span of Suffolk County, and low number of youth in detention and placement from Suffolk, the need to target specific communities is not warranted. This plan will focus on county wide initiatives for further reducing placement and detention.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

The Suffolk County STSJP Plan consists of the following six components

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

STSJP Program One	Juvenile Day Reporting Center Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 540,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Countywide			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 28 students were served in the 2013/2014 school year.			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Two	Pre-Petition/Adjustment Services	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 43,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Countywide			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? Approximately 70 youth and families.			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Three”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			

1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:

STSJP Program Three	Community Reinvestment Expansion Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 160,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Five Western Townships in Suffolk County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 8-16 youth			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Four	Respite	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 100,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Countywide			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 17-20 youth			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Five	Outpatient Day Treatment Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 60,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Five Western Townships in Suffolk County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5 youth			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Six	Home Based Services	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 100,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Countywide			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 20 youth			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? According to the US Census, the racial ethnic composition for Suffolk County's is 81% White, 7.4% Black, 3.4% Asian, and 5.6% other. The Hispanic population (any race) is 16.5% of the population. Suffolk County's 2013 detention data indicates that 28% of youth in detention are White, 34% are Black, and 34% are Hispanic.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: The County is planning to use the upcoming Juvenile Justice Assistance Grant to improve Suffolk County's capacity for collecting and managing juvenile justice data; align data elements, management and analysis methods across Long Island counties (Nassau and Suffolk) so their juvenile justice data is comprehensive and comparable.

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: Each of the programs will reduce the number of placed or detained juveniles by addressing the underlying problems resulting in placement/detention. Program #1 is an intensive day reporting program which is a last effort to keep a juvenile out of placement through education and life skills. This is a proven successful program. The remaining 5 programs provide diversionary services not only to the juvenile but also to their families. Providing these services to families will allow them to address their issues before they escalate to the point of court intervention and the possibility of detention or placement.
2. How they are family –focused: All six of the programs have components built in that address the family as a whole but also offer individualized services to the juveniles to help them to address the underlying problem that puts them at risk for court intervention. The respite component offers a short term living alternative so the juvenile and families can get additional services to work through the present problem and resolve the problem before court intervention or while awaiting a court appearance when a return home is not an immediate option.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: Program 1 services the entire County at the present time and could be split to two sites if the resources were available. The remaining 5 components are in a pilot stage and it will take time to replicate the programs Countywide. Suffolk County is a vast geographic area and the tendency is for more services to be available in the Western Townships but we are looking to pursue Eastern Township vendors.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Suffolk County submitted a plan last year but did not enact any of the components so outcomes are not applicable at this time.
5. What were the barriers if not met? Not applicable

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. Through other programs in Suffolk County we have seen the value of the six components and feel that they will all have an impact in the reduction of detention and placement juveniles. Suffolk Probation is open to additional or alternative components should any of these not meet the anticipated outcomes.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to

include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: All juveniles (28 of them in 2013/2014) in the Day Reporting Program were at risk of placement and were successfully diverted. Respite will provide a short term living arrangement for a juvenile that would normally have to be placed in Detention if there is no alternative safe house. The exact reduction in detention utilization is not available at this time.
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: All of these services and programs focus on the problems of not only the juvenile but the family as well and offer to both the life skills and/or program referrals to allow them to work through the difficulties and provide them with the necessary coping skills to improve their circumstances.

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Not applicable
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Not applicable

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: Not applicable

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested:

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs:

Secure detention:

Non-Secure detention

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:

Number of PINs placed:

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:

COMMENTS

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Not applicable

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

Not applicable

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:

Not Applicable

- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:

Not Applicable

- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

Not Applicable

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Steven Bellone, County Executive

7/23/2014
Date

Name (Please Print)

X



Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
- f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015-ANNUAL PLAN
ADDENDUM

STSJP Program Seven	Educational Advocacy Services	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 100,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Countywide with focus on Eastern Suffolk			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 35			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
3. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
4. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".			
5. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
6. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
7. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
8. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
6. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
7. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
8. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
9. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
10. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
5. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
6. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
9. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
10. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
11. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
12. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
11. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
12. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
13. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
14. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
15. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
7. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
8. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
13. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
14. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
15. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
16. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
16. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
17. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
18. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
19. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
20. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
9. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
10. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
17. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
18. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
19. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
20. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
21. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
22. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
23. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
24. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
25. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
11. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
12. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
21. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
22. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
23. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
24. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
26. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
27. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
28. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
29. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
30. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
13. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
14. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
25. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
26. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
27. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
28. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
31. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
32. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
33. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
34. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
35. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
15. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
16. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
29. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
30. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
31. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
32. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
36. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
37. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
38. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
39. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
40. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
17. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
18. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
33. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
34. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
35. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
36. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
41. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
42. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
43. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
44. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
45. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	
\$	
19. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
20. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".	
37. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
38. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
39. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
40. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
46. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
47. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
48. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
49. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
50. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	