

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)  
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)

Please ensure that the title "**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**" and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

[John.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:John.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-486-4665

[Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Otsego County	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Otsego County Probation Department	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Kristen Leahy
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 607-547-4217	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: <a href="mailto:leahyk@otsegocounty.com">leahyk@otsegocounty.com</a>

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 80,815
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 48,755
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 0
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 48,755
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 78,637
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 48,755
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 29,882
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 80,815
<b>TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:</b>	<b>\$ 29,882 (STSJP only)</b>

### SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Otsego County is a rural community with a total population of approximately 61,000 people. The largest population density is the city of Oneonta. Over the past year eight youth were placed. Oneonta continues represent the area with the highest number of youth placed in detention or residential, which was two this past year. The following towns had one youth placed; Butternuts, Otego, Hartwick, Worcester, Cooperstown, and Springfield Center.

### SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

"Please enter each program individually, and if you have more programs than the form accounts for, please use the addendum supplied with this document."

OCFS-2121 (6/2014)

<b>STSJP Program One</b>	St.Catherine's Center for Children- Psychosexual evaluations and individual/group treatment	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 4,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will be youth who have allegedly committed a sexual offense/ have been adjudicated for a sexual offense and need a psychosexual evaluation completed and/or have been recommended individual or group treatment to deal with their sexual behaviors.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 6			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Otsego County did not use funds in 2013-14.			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 6			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 0			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 0			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$22,000			

<b>STSJP Program Two</b>	Electronic Monitoring Services through Behavioral Interventions	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 3,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will include curfew violators, runaways, and/or used as an additional alternative prior to placement outside the home when appropriate. It may also be utilized as a step down program. If returning a youth home from placement/detention is appropriate, and restrictions need to be put in place, the youth can return home with a bracelet.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? April 1, 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 15			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 30			

4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 5

**For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:**

1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 4

2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0

3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0

4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 1

5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$7,857.84

STSSJP Program Three	Drug Screens through Biotech (drop screens) and Medlab (lab tests)	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 947.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will include youth that have allegedly committed an offense that was alcohol/drug related, adjudicated youth that have committed an offense that was alcohol/drug related, and any PINS or JDs that have been referred to court with a history of substance abuse.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 20			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSSJP Program Four".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSSJP funds? April 1, 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSSJP funds? 20			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? duration			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 20			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 19			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 1			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 2			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$1,652.01			

STSSJP Program Four	Gas Card or Bus Passes - purchased through a local store and bus passes through Birnie Bus	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 300.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? The geographic areas targeted for this service will be rural communities that are not on the bus route or individuals/families that do not have a vehicle for transportation and have access to the bus route. It will also target individuals/families with a vehicle but no funds for gas.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 10			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSSJP Program Five".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSSJP funds? Otsego County did not use funds in 2013-14 because no youth /families reported transportation issues as a reason for missing appointments.			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSSJP funds? 10			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 0			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 0			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			

2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$1,000

<b>STSJP Program Five</b>	Respite through Northeast Parent and Child Society, House of the Good Shepard and local DSS	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 7,500.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will be residing in a home where there is a need for a short term solution to relieve stress that if continued would put the youth in jeopardy of placement.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? October 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 9			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 16 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 4			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 3			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 1			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 1			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$9,154.68			

<b>STSJP Program Six</b>	Kid's Onieda- Diagnostic Assessments	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 14,255.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will be youth that can continue to reside in the community, if it is safe for the youth, during the diagnostic evaluation.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 4			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or			

failure to show at court)

5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:

### SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality?

Based on Otsego County Probation records, it appears there is no significant racial or ethnic disproportionality in the placement of youth in residential or detention. Due to our numbers being so minimal we will monitor any future disproportionality through a spreadsheet.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality:

### SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements  
Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: Please see below under #5 for the answers for the efficacy of each proposed service/program.
2. How they are family –focused:
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites:
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes.
5. What were the barriers if not met?

St. Catherines Center for Children will provide psychosexual evaluations and treatment. There is only one therapist in the area that evaluates and treats youth that sexual offending behaviors. St. Catherines will complete outpatient evaluations within a timely manner for the court. If treatment (individual or group) is recommended, the youth will follow through with the recommendation. With a youth being treated within a short timeframe, there is less a chance that he/she will be placed due to the risk he/she puts on their siblings or the community. Ultimately, this program will reduce the number of youth with offending behaviors from being placed. The treatment is within a short distance from Otsego County so the family can be a part of the treatment. With family participation there is more of a chance the youth will successfully complete treatment. St. Catherines is not a program that can be replicated across multiple sites because it is located in Albany, NY. All youth appropriate for the program will have access to it. There are no performance outcomes for 2013, as no funds were used for this program. The proposed funds for this program have been significantly reduced from 2013-14, as different services are being requested this year. As in the past, the main barrier met is the timeframe allotted to utilize the STSJP funds. Otsego County is aware that it is possible each year for the funds to be retro-active, but without 100% guarantee no referrals are made to this program outside the initial STSJP approval dates.

Electronic monitoring will continue to reduce the number of placements through the use of electronic devices (house arrest and GPS). This option allows another step in the system while holding the youth accountable. This program will be considered prior to any out of home placement when appropriate. This program may also be utilized as a step down program in that if the youth is in placement/detention, he/she may be released to his/her parents with a bracelet. The program is family focused in that he/she will reside in the family home. As far as this program being replicated, it can be used by any youth in the county. Out of the four youth placed on electronic monitoring through STSJP funds in 2013-14, only one youth was unsuccessful in the program. There are no funding barriers to this program as the cost is significantly lower than the cost of having a youth placed, therefore the program is utilized with or without funds.

Drug screens will continue to assist in holding youth accountable for their substance use. With the use of screens we can detect use before other signs of use are significant. Youth are able to be evaluated and treated sooner with detection. As a result the number of youth detained or placed is reduced. This service is utilized while the youth is residing in the home with the focus that he/she remains in the home. All appropriate youth will be drug screened at various sites, therefore this service is replicated. Out of the twenty youth that participated in this program in 2013-14, nineteen successfully completed it. There are no barriers to this program as detecting substance use as early as

possible reduces the length of time the youth will be in treatment, detained or placed. Overall this will be a viable service with a substantial savings to the county.

Gas cards and bus passes will assist families in making their necessary appointments. This is two fold, if the youth makes his/her appointments there is less a chance he/she will be placed in the future. This program is family focused in that it relieves stress on family members that do not have the funds or the ability (own a vehicle) to transport their child to and from necessary appointments. All youth will have access to this program, therefore it can be replicated. Otsego County has no performance outcomes for 2013-14, as no families shared a need for this program. Regardless of no need last year, does not mean there will not be a need this year. The barrier met for his program is the timeframe allotted to utilize the STSJF funds.

Respite services through Northeast Parent and Child Society, House of the Good Shepard or local DSS will continue to reduce the number of youth detained or placed. This is an opportunity for the youth and his/her family to take a temporary break from stressful times within the home. This program is family focused in that it touches everyone in the household, with the end goal of keeping the youth permanently in the home. The program is open to all youth and there are multiple sites, therefore it can be replicated. Out of the four youth that participated in respite through the STSJF funds in 2013-14, only one was not successful. The only barrier continues to be the timeframe allotted to utilize the STSJF funds, as the funds did not begin being used until October 2013.

In Otsego County all diagnostic evaluations have been completed in a facility. With the ability to have Kids Onieda complete diagnostic evaluations, while the youth is residing in the home will reduce the number of youth detained or placed. It is family focused in that the family can participate and give feedback to the treatment provider. At this time, this service can not be replicated as Kids Onieda is providing this service. There are no performance outcomes as this is the first time Otsego County will be utilizing an agency to perform outpatient diagnostic evaluations. There are no known barriers as this is the first time this service is being proposed.

Otsego County will utilize Kids Onieda to request to have a youth released from placement back into their family home with intensive wrap around services with a case manager in place. The main goal is to reintegrate the youth back into the community with his/her family. This is a family focused program where many of the services are brought into the home and there is a close relationship with the youth's case manager. At this time, there is only funds for one youth to see if this is a service that Otsego County would like to fund in the future, therefore it can not be replicated at this time. This is our first proposal for this service, therefore there are no outcomes for 2013-14 and no known barriers.

Mind Matters will reduce a youth from being detained or placed by providing neurofeedback through an evaluation and treatment. The program is family focused in that the main goal is for the youth to remain in the home with his/her behavior improving that are a result of Attention Deficit Disorder, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Depression, alcohol/drug addiction and many other diagnoses. At this time, there are only funds for one youth participate in neurotherapy to see if this is a service that Otsego County would like to fund in the future, therefore it can not be replicated at this time. This is our first proposal for this service, therefore there are no outcomes for 2013-14 and no known barriers.

Elmcrest will provide a sexual behavior risk assessment that includes a comprehensive evaluation of safety, risk and treatment needs. If treatment is recommended, Elmcrest can provide individual and family therapy. This program is family focused with the main goals including the youth remaining in the home if it is a safe environment while participating in outpatient individual or family therapy. Family involvement in the youth's treatment can be instrumental to the success of the therapeutic process. If the youth is not residing in the home, Elmcrest will work on the issues at hand to assist in the return of the youth to his/her home with the tools to be

successful and avoid placement.

## **SECTION FIVE – Justification for the Proposed Programs and Services**

The purpose of STSJF funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the demonstrated effectiveness of the proposed services and programs, or provide (in the form) other justification of why you are proposing these services/programs for funding. Please answer the questions below for each of the programs

highlighted in Section Two.

In Otsego County, we have seen a rise juvenile sexual offending behaviors. There is a need for a treatment provider that is focused on youth with these behaviors. We have utilized St. Catherines services in the past and would like to use them again in 2014-15. Due to the timeframe allotted to use the STSJP funds, the use of the funds and treatment have not always aligned. We are also including another service provider, Elmcrest, that works with youth with sexual offending behaviors. Otsego County has utilized Elmcrest services in the past, but have not requested STSJP funds for this treatment facility until this year.

Electronic monitoring has proven to be an effective tool to avoid placement since we began applying for STSJP funds. It has been utilized on both ends, prior to placement and as a step down from placement to return a youth to his/her community.

Screening youth for alcohol and drug use has also proven to be effective. It leaves no room for the youth to deny use. There is an increase in success the earlier the youth engages in treatment.

Gas cards and bus passes have been helpful in prior years as a way for the youth and family to consistently attend appointments with their probation officer and service providers. In 2014-15, youth and their families will be made more aware of this program.

Respite, either through one of the agencies that Otsego County DSS contracts with or through Otsego County DSS has proven to be effective, as it is helpful in relieving stress within the home temporarily. This program was utilized six times, by four different youth. Only one of the four youth was unsuccessful.

Kids Oneida is a new agency that Otsego County would like to gain a relationship with. Several surrounding counties have had positive outcomes with the programs they provide. Otsego County has spent a fair amount of money to pay for inpatient diagnostic evaluations. Kids Onieda has the capability to provide outpatient diagnostics so the youth can remain inthe community while he/she is being assessed.

Kids Oneida also offers Intensive Case Management services. Otsego County would like to utilize this service to have a youth that is already in placement returned to their community with the support and resources they need to be successful. This program has been effective in other counties and with this funding opportunity Otsego County would like to see if this program would work well in Otsego County.

Mind Matters is a Neurofeedback Center that provides neurotherapy evaluations and treatment. Officers from Otsego County have participated in informational meetings in regard to this new innovation option to manage symptoms of ADD, ADHD, Depression, alcoho/drug addiction and many other diagnoses. Surrounding counties have contracts with this company and have had positive outcomes. With this funding opportunity we would like to see if this program would have a positive outcome in Otsego County which would support future funding opportunities.

## SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: It is estimated that our detention utilization and residential placements will be reduced by 25%.
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: With more options available, with the least restrictive alternatives recommended first, youth will see a progression in consequences that are consistent with their negative behaviors. There will also be a descalation of services available for youth that should be returned to their families and the community, with constraints in place. Another projected positive outcome is timely evaluations and appropriate treatment recommended for youth with sexual offending behaviors. Overall, the majority of the services/programs proposed will not only touch the youth, but his/her family as well, especially with outpatient diagnostic evaluations and having an intensive case manager involved with a youth and his/her family. With positive family involvement there will be a higher success rate.

**SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes**

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Otsego County's projected performance outcomes were to reduce detention and residential placements by 25%.
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Although, Otsego County was not able to reach their projected performance outcome or utilize all of the funds available, there were still positive outcomes. Youth were able to reside with their families in the community while being held accountable for their behavior. Youth engaged in treatment earlier due to the detection of substance use.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: Otsego County

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 0

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 2

**Secure detention:** 0

**Non-Secure detention** 2

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS: 0

Number of PINs placed: 6

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding: 23

**COMMENTS**

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Otsego County did not achieve the projected reduction in detention utilization and residential placements. The main barrier was when the funding was available or cases did not require the services/programs proposed.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

Otsego County changed the amount of funds allocated for certain services/programs. Otsego County deleted programs that were not utilized in the past and also added new programs/services to see if there will be a reduction in placement without a substantial amount of local funds used to support those program prior to positive outcomes.

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties  
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

**SECTION NINE – Additional Comments**

**APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Kathleen Clark

Name (Please Print)

8/18/14  
Date

X *Kathleen Clark*

Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
- f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)**  
**SFY 2014-2015-ANNUAL PLAN**  
ADDENDUM

STSJP Program Seven	Kids Onieda- Intensive Case Manager	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 18,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will be an individual with high needs and minimal family support or resources. The individual will already be in detention/placement and this service will be put in place so this individual will be able to return home with services and support in place to remain in his/her home and community.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 1			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Eight	Mind Matters- Neurotherapy	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 3,000
3. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth that will be considered for this service will be one that has participated in services to manage symptoms of ADD, ADHD, Depression, alcohol/drug addiction, etc, and is open to an innovative treatment as an option to avoid placement.			
4. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 1			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
5. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
6. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
7. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
8. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			

6. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)
7. Did not appear in court when directed to do so
8. Were re-arrested before appearing in court
9. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)
10. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:

OCFS-2121-1 (6/24/2014)  
ADDENDUM

<b>STSJP Program Nine</b>	Elmcrest- specialized sexual abuse assessment and individual/family therapy	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATP/ATD
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 27,635
5. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? No specific communities targeted. The youth targeted for this service will be youth who have allegedly committed a sexual offense/have been adjudicated for a sexual offense and need a risk assessment completed. Following an assessment the youth may participate in individual and /or the youth and his/her family may participate in family therapy.			
6. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 6-10			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
9. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
10. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
11. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
12. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
11. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
12. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
13. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
14. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
15. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

<b>STSJP Program</b>		<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$
7. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
8. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".</b>			
13. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
14. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
15. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
16. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of</b>			