

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7/10/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johnne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION

NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION:
JEFFERSON COUNTY

LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION:
JEFFERSON COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

NAME OF CONTACT PERSON:
DAVID D. COREY, PROBATION DIRECTOR

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:
(315) 785-3068

CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS:
davidc@co.jefferson.ny.us

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015

SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 188,036
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 46,800
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 86,800
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 140,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 86,800
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 53,200
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 141,236
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	\$ 53,200

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

As in past years, our main youth population is from the City of Watertown. Jefferson County is home to Fort Drum and as a result, several of our outlying communities and school districts have a heavy transient population, including the Watertown School District, Indian River School District and Carthage School District. All of our youth have the ability to access the STSJP funded programs. The Wrap Around Case Management Program and Probation Programs are community based.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

STSJP Program One	Youth Court: Why Try Program and Educational Classes	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 25,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Jefferson County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? On 4/1/13 for educational classes and on 8/1/13 for the Why Try Program			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 4			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? Eight (8) weeks for Why Try and one (1) hour per education class per youth.			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? Two (2) for Why Try and two (2) for educational classes; for a total of four (4).			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 3			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 1			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Two	Children's Home of Jefferson County: Wrap Around Case Management Services	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 105,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Jefferson County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Three”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 10			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? Six (6) months			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 9			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 8			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			

3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 1

4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 1

5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0

STSJP Program Three	Jefferson County Enhanced Probation	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 10,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Jefferson County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? Up to 25			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 5			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? Six (6) weeks			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 5			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 2			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 2			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Approximately \$9,000			

STSJP Program Four		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Five	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Six	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? Based on our population, there does not appear to be any racial or ethnic disproportionality.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: None exists.

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: PROGRAM ONE: Youth Court Why Try Program - The Why Try Program was added to our STSJP Plan last year after reviewing the current programs that Youth Court offered. We anticipate being able to provide the program to at least 15 youths. The Why Try Program is a preventive program designed to enhance personal development and social skills. The program is a strength-based approach to assist youth in overcoming their challenges and improve outcomes in the areas of behavior, truancy and academics. PROGRAM TWO: Wrap Around Case Management Services - This program will service up to 15 youths a year and is offered through the Children's Home of Jefferson County. An assessment is made with the youth and parent/guardian to determine the needs of the youth to prevent detention and out-of-home placement. Each plan is strength based and individualized to meet the needs of the youth. Several services are offered directly by the Children's Home of Jefferson County to include respite and a 10-week Anger Management Program. At a minimum, two (2) visits a month are in the home with the family. The Enhanced Probation Program gives our office the opportunity to monitor the youth at home on nights and weekends if needed. This program also makes available GPS monitoring in lieu of placing the youth in detention or as a tool to decrease the number of days in detention.
2. How they are family –focused: The Wrap Around Program has a family component. The family and youth work with the Caseworker in developing a treatment plan. Home visits are also made by the Caseworker on a regular basis. Enhanced Probation services also entail home visits and working with the parents/guardian in establishing curfews and determining compliance with any specialized services. The Youth Court classes and Why Try do not have a family component. The Director does attempt to have the parent/guardian participate in the Why Try Intake before the program starts but it is not mandatory.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: Yes all three can.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Our objectives were for a 5% reduction in the number of days in detention. In 2012-2013, we had a total of 297 days in detention. In 2013-2014, we had 75 days in detention for a 74% reduction in days. Our second objective was for a 5% reduction in the cost of detention. In 2012-2013, we spent \$90,548. This was a very high year for us for detention costs, mainly due to an increase in the number of days in secure detention that we had not incurred in several years. In 2013-2014, we had a cost of \$19,826. This was a reduction of 78%. Again, we met our third objective as we had no youth "no show" for their court appearances. In 2012-2013, we had four (4) youths rearrested during their participation in the STSJP Programs. In 2013-2014, we had two (2) youths rearrested. This is a 17% re-arrest rate. We set our goal at 15% and did not meet it by a minimal percentage.
5. What were the barriers if not met? An issue that we have every year and see no foreseeable solution is that youths are placed in detention who are already in a LDSS

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. Meetings are held with the lead agency, Social Services, County

Attorney's Office and the programs covered under the STSJP, to discuss if the programs are meeting the needs of the community and if there are any areas not being addressed. The Supervisor of the Juvenile Unit also meets periodically with local law enforcement to discuss trends in the community from their perspective and how we can better work together. We have also participated in the Youth Regional Justice Team Meetings held in our region. During the upcoming year, more meetings are being held to discuss the trends in youth and placements to determine if additional specialized services are needed. We are specifically looking in to working with a sexual offender treatment facility to provide more intensive community-based services.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: In looking at the decrease in detention days and costs over the last two years, it is unclear how much further this county can realistically decrease costs for the upcoming year. Our goal is to maintain and not increase costs associated with detention.
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: Our main objectives are that youths appear in court as required, are not re-arrested and if released under an STSJP Program from detention, they are not returned to a detention facility. We are also hoping through the Why Try Program and Wrap Around Case Management Program to assist youths in staying in school and completing their education.

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: #1 - the total number of days in detention will be reduced by 5% from the previous year. #2 - the total amount spent on detention will be reduced by 5%. #3 - Failure to report to court will be no more than 5%. #4 - Re-arrest rate for youths participating in programs will be no more than 15%.
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Two youths who participated in the Youth Court Why Try Program have expressed an interest in joining Youth Court as a volunteer, one youth has taken on the role of a mentor in another program that she is involved with and has co-facilitated a Girls' Empowerment Group. Several youths without the Wrap Around Case Management Program would not have been able to access mental health treatment and proper medications in such a timely manner.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: N/A

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 87

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 5

Secure detention:	7
Non-Secure detention	68

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	5
Number of PINs placed:	0

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding: 13

COMMENTS

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?
 Jefferson County continues to achieve a very low number of detention and residential placements.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.
 Not for this year, other than to utilize the Why Try Program that started later in the year. Our Department has already met with the STSJP funded programs to discuss the 2015-2016 year and the changes that may occur. We are giving them the opportunity to determine if they can develop or offer additional programming to meet the need of an increase in sexual offender arrests in our county. A local agency is also developing a program and is requesting funding under the STSJP for next year to be submitted early Fall. This year, we will be having a Probation Officer attend and participate in Intakes for programs being implemented within the family home. We will be looking to provide the Officers with tablets and wireless connectivity for use of these tablets during Intakes.

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties
 (Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Robert F. Hagemann, III July 10, 2014

 Name (Please Print) Date

X

 Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

- Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.
- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the “Save As” function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
 - b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
 - c. Work from the “saved” county plan document using it to record all of your county’s information.
 - d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.

-
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
 - f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov
-